

Breakout Session Question #1

➤ 1. Gaps in the Project Plan

- Inclusion of private partners and identification and incorporation of private lands across the landscape, rather than coordinating around them
 - Points system to encourage private landowner collaboration (i.e. funding opportunities)
- Focus on some strategy design up front
- Identify bridge organizations to private entities such as Watershed Councils
- Bringing coarse-filter, fine-filter approach to the overall strategy itself

Breakout Session Question #1

Participation gaps – Counties? social network map will help, going out and meeting with folks, we need to think about the role that we play in this scale. Our plan should help inform the region,

- ▶ Soil Water conservation districts work closely with counties, need to be brought in, or provide tools to them
- ▶ Transportation – also connect with counties – using groups like ODOT who bring info to city & counties
- ▶ Talking to these groups would also help get at question 4
- ▶ How will we bring in land owner groups – where and when do we engage them? Pretty soon.
- ▶ Products and tools we create should help inform many groups.
- ▶ Maps with agreed high priority areas, i.e. anyone who needs permits it would be relevant to
- ▶ We need to figure out what we can deliver and what we cannot, where can we get the biggest bang for our buck
- ▶ **Talking with counties/Consv Districts/ DOT/ private partners, strategy design up front/bring coarse and fine filter approach to overall strategy**

Breakout Session Question #2

2. Governance Structure

- ▶ Governance for leadership buy-in (see question 4)
- ▶ Let's see how this evolves
- ▶ May benefit from **a charter (MOU - letters of agreement/support)**
- ▶ Think in *a bit more structured way* now
- ▶ Build useful tools – more important than governance
- ▶ Maybe create a *steering committee* as commitment to cooperate (this group)
- ▶ Valuable to have high-level buy-in (governor level natural resources) for success – enhance awareness by state agency directors - highlight community of practice
- ▶ Separate from LCC itself
- ▶ Steering Committee and Core Team – possibly add other sub-categories of working groups (modeling, communications)
- ▶ Extend existing Steering Committee

Breakout Session Question #3

➤ 3. Funding strategy

- Funding for outreach
- Talk with everyone in geography
- Research cooperatives model – if you are part of one, you would be expected to support it
- Scenario planning is going to take \$\$
- Aquatic connectivity (fish passage assessments – what's known vs. gaps)
- Potential funding sources
 - Possibly create Friends of the LCD!
 - Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board
 - State wildlife grant funds

Breakout Session Question #4

- ▶ 4. How will your organization use the outcomes of the LCD to advance its conservation interests? What management decisions will be made? At what scale? What timing? Is there anything that could be done to make the outcomes of the LCD more useful for your organization?
 - ▶ DOT: helps understand priority areas for investment for wildlife passage
 - ▶ ODFW: inform conservation efforts, grants, habitat restoration, mitigation banking, as well as comments on DLCD and permits (energy, land use)
 - ▶ Land acquisition targets
 - ▶ NPS: acquisition, help during competition for internal funding
 - ▶ USFS: 20-year revisions of forest plans to have all-lands perspective

Breakout Session Question #4

- ▶ Water Consv Districts – Products of something like this can be conservation starters, making data available to multiple geographic extents – i.e. they will want to do their own analysis - **Accessibility of data** + Focal species and what to do in those areas. We are on one hand saturated with this kind of info, so how will our maps add value to already existing?
 - ▶ John – We would like to synthesize those and also want to incorporate future scenarios.
 - ▶ *Focal areas that will be resilient* – that’s the piece that isn’t yet out there.
 - ▶ *Connectivity*: also products that don’t exist, spatial information will add, many existing plans are also outdated.
 - ▶ *Implementation* is important – we will create good info, but we need to keep this group together for implementing/tracking.
 - ▶ We need the leaders of all of our agencies to buy in to our product, this should be a goal. i.e. have a regulatory statement up front about how groups will use it.
 - ▶ *Conclusions*: Resiliency/connectivity sets us apart, high-level buy-in, strong focus on implementation



Thanks, everyone!



Happy Thanksgiving